

# MD9A8-30 Essential and Transferable Research Skills

**23/24**

**Department**

Warwick Medical School

**Level**

Taught Postgraduate Level

**Module leader**

Stephen Royle

**Credit value**

30

**Module duration**

48 weeks

**Assessment**

100% coursework

**Study location**

University of Warwick main campus, Coventry

---

## Description

### Introductory description

The module intends to develop research and professional transferable skills that future employers will look for whether in academia, industry or other professional settings. The module will develop students' analytical and critical skills and provide training on various topics including managing of research progress, data collection, analysis and presentation; managing time, resources and people; scientific technical and non-technical writing. The module also intends to provide knowledge of the legal framework including intellectual property and contract law and promote awareness of the principles of research ethics and integrity and good professional practice in a biomedical laboratory setting. Students will be trained to present research concepts orally, will write a research proposal similar to a grant application and will be trained to defend a research proposal in a virtual funding committee (Term 2). Throughout the year, students will demonstrate progress in their transferable research skills by engaging in a series of activities (annual conference organization; engagement with departmental seminars; partaking in various committees within the School or other departments, etc) as well as following lectures related to research ethics, patent law, exploitation of research, team work skills, time and resource management, communication skills, self-assessment skills and leadership skills. Students will submit reflective pieces in a form of a portfolio of evidence.

## Module aims

1. To develop research skills to formulate research ideas, questions and hypotheses
2. To develop analytical and critical thinking skills, including the soundness and originality of a research topic
3. To develop written skills (technical and non-technical), construct coherent arguments and articulate ideas clearly to a range of audiences, formally and informally through a variety of techniques
4. To develop managerial and leadership skills (time; organization; interaction)
5. To equip students with knowledge of research ethics and professional and academic integrity

## Outline syllabus

This is an indicative module outline only to give an indication of the sort of topics that may be covered. Actual sessions held may differ.

Topics will include:

Legal framework, including health & safety (COSHH, policies)

Good academic practice, including plagiarism and data manipulation; data management and presentation (collecting and documenting, electronic lab books; database and large datasets); probity and research integrity; reproducibility and reliability.

Team work, leadership and communication including organizing a scientific event; taking part in committee/panel discussion; outreach and public engagement; networking; delivering written and oral reports.

Report and outputs, including researching databases; reviewing and rebutting grants and papers, asking a research question; developing contingency plans; composing grants and papers; planning (e.g.; Gantt charts), scheduling; budgeting and publishing (e.g.; prep-prints, open access).

## Learning outcomes

By the end of the module, students should be able to:

- 1. Frame appropriate research questions to address complex topics in biomedical science
- 2. Demonstrate originality and creativity in producing and defending a grant proposal
- 3. Conduct critical appraisal of scientific concepts and project proposals
- 4. Communicate orally and in writing complex ideas to specialist and non-specialist audience
- 5. Demonstrate advanced knowledge of research ethics and culture of the research environment; differentiate good from inadequate research practice
- 6. Evaluate and reflect on own professional behaviour and image: critically analyse personal development and demonstrate an insight into the transferable nature of research skills to other work environments within and outside academia.

## Indicative reading list

Hofmann A.H. Scientific Writing and Communication: Papers, Proposals, and Presentations (4rd edition 2017) Oxford University Press.  
Zinsser, W. On Writing Well 3rd ed (2006) Turtleback books  
Strunk Jr, W. The Elements of Style (1999) Pearson.

## Interdisciplinary

The module will allow students to acquire skills through various opportunities during the MSc year, that transfer across the boundaries of any particular module.

## Subject specific skills

1. Acquire and develop professionalism in research;
2. Knowledge of research ethics and good academic practice
3. Knowledge of the development of a research proposal including a budget

## Transferable skills

1. Managerial skills (time management, team work and leadership)
  2. Ability of articulate and defend own ideas and questions
  3. Develop critical analytical skills
  4. Develop communication and leadership skills
- 

## Study

### Study time

Type	Required
Lectures	10 sessions of 4 hours (13%)
Seminars	10 sessions of 1 hour (3%)
Online learning (scheduled sessions)	8 sessions of 1 hour (3%)
Online learning (independent)	(0%)
Private study	92 hours (31%)
Assessment	150 hours (50%)
Total	300 hours

### Private study description

Private study will be protected for students to familiarise themselves with the materials provided for them to choose a topic for their research grant proposal.

### Other activity description

Activities will be attributed and organised by the module lead and the course director. Activities will include the preparation and organization of student conference, cohort events, offer holder day; or welcome afternoon; or other activities demonstrating leadership and team work.

## Costs

No further costs have been identified for this module.

---

## Assessment

You must pass all assessment components to pass the module.

### Assessment group A1

	Weighting	Study time	Eligible for self-certification
Assessment component			
Oral presentation of a scientific paper (15 min presentation + 5 minutes questions): to demonstrate understanding and critical thinking and develop communication skills using appropriate scientific language.	15%	20 hours	No
Reassessment component is the same			
Assessment component			
Written Research Grant proposal 2,000 words: to present complex scientific concepts in a concise manner and demonstrate critical awareness of research techniques in biomedicine. 700 words: to present the justification of resources for the research proposal 250 words: to write a summary of the research proposal in plain English targeted to the non-initiated public	35%	50 hours	Yes (extension)
Reassessment component is the same			
Assessment component			

	<b>Weighting</b>	<b>Study time</b>	<b>Eligible for self-certification</b>
Oral Defense of a research proposal	15%	20 hours	No
Grant panel discussion: to demonstrate critical appraisal of the work of others; to demonstrate communication skills			
<b>Reassessment component</b>			
Recorded oral presentation			Yes (extension)
Students will record a 15 minutes oral presentation describing the aims of the grant proposal they are defending, arguing on their hypothesis and experimental design and discussing contingency plans to demonstrate their critical skills.			

#### **Assessment component**

Portfolio of evidence	35%	60 hours	Yes (extension)
Students will submit evidence and reflective pieces of their engagement in various activities including the preparation for group-related activity (conference, cohort activity etc.), presenting written and oral scientific work, leadership activities (e.g.; committees, course newsletters etc.)			

#### **Reassessment component**

Reflective piece			Yes (extension)
Students will write an overall reflective piece on their own choice of 3 activities that they have accomplished during the year. They will produce 300 words per activity.			

## **Feedback on assessment**

Standardized rubrics will be used to mark and provide feedback (including individualized feedback) on the Research proposal, oral presentation, oral appraisal of the research proposal and the portfolio of evidence in line with WMS assessment criteria (including submission for plagiarism software). The oral presentation and oral defense will be double marked. The research grant proposal will be marked and the module lead will moderate marks and feedback. Written tests will be marked and moderated.

Feedback throughout the module and after assessment will be available to students on request. Every student who fails an element of assessment will be offered an appointment with the Module Lead for face-to-face feedback.

---

## **Availability**

## **Courses**

This module is Option list A for:

- Year 4 of UMDA-CF10 Undergraduate Integrated Natural Sciences (MSci)